

Measurement Challenges: Lessons from Four Case Studies

Pinelopi Goldberg
Yale University

“Academic Perspectives on Industrial Policy”
Conference

Geneva, Switzerland

March 10, 2025

General Questions

- **Description:** What kind of subsidies/industrial policy have been applied in various countries in each of the four sectors?
 - What are the conditions associated with these subsidies? Specifically, are there any local content requirements (discriminatory)?
 - Are they WTO-compliant?
- **Motivation:** What problems are they intended to address?
- **Effectiveness:** Have they been effective at addressing those problems?
- **Cross-country Spillovers:** What are the effects on other countries? (positive or negative)
- **Accurate Measurement = Prerequisite for Evaluation.** But accurate measurement is elusive

Case Studies: Overview

Sector	Authors (presenters in red)	Policy Instruments
Shipbuilding	Panle Barwick & Myrto Kalouptsidi	Subsidies in China (measurement challenging → use model as detection tool)
Semiconductors	Penny Goldberg & Reka Juhasz & Nathan Lane & Giulia Lo Forte & Jeff Thurk	Various, (difficult if not impossible to accurately measure → use historical analysis & GTA data & ML & model as detection tool)
Renewables (Wind & Solar)	Todd Gerarden Mar Reguant Daniel Xu	Demand Subsidies (well measured) Supply Subsidies (measurement challenging for China)
Electric Vehicles	Panle Barwick & & Hyuk-soo Kwon & Shanjun Li & Nahim Zahur	Consumer Subsidies & Local Content Requirements (well measured)

Measurement Challenges

Main Takeaways

- Not all Industrial Policy is hard to measure: Demand-side vs. Supply-side
 - Consumer Subsidies & Local Content Requirements: Very well measured. Can only be effective if salient (EVs; Solar Panels)
 - But subsidies and other interventions at the plant or firm or district or national level hard to detect.
- Challenges related to:
 - Form of support: Grants vs. below market financing vs. other forms of implicit support
 - Ex-ante vs. ex-post measures
 - Implementation: In China policies are announced at the national level, but implemented by provinces. Hard to keep track, firm-level measures often lead to double-counting.

Measurement Challenges

Main Takeaways (contd.)

- Even when subsidies are well measured, need theoretical framework for judging their magnitudes and evaluating their effects.
 - Example: Chinese subsidies on EVs: Large or Small given the size of the market?
 - Example: Chinese support for semiconductors: China provides the highest support (according to prevailing estimates). But if one scales this support by GDP, then Korea is the most heavily subsidizing country.

- What is the right metric? Need conceptual framework to judge this
 - Grossman and Sykes or
 - Model-based Approach

Data-Driven vs. Model-Based Approach

- Given measurement difficulties, resort to model-based approach (Barwick et al for Shipbuilding; Goldberg et al for Semiconductors).
- **Idea:**
 - Develop a model of the sector/industry
 - Use the model structure and data to estimate the costs facing firms
 - If these costs appear systematically lower for one country or a subset of firms, even after one has controlled for all possible confounding factors, strong suggestion that these firms are being subsidized.
 - Works particularly well when there is a smoking gun. See graphs for shipbuilding.
 - Hence, most powerful when model results are combined with strong descriptive evidence.
 - Note: Model delivers EX-POST measure of IP (size of support & effectiveness)
- **Advantages:**
 - Allows not only measurement, but also evaluation of industrial policy
 - Captures implicit support through broad measures (e.g., education policy or infrastructure investments).
- **Disadvantages:**
 - Model assumptions may drive the conclusions. But can conduct robustness analysis
 - Very time-consuming. But can apply the criterion “beyond reasonable doubt” as opposed to “beyond any doubt”
 - Perhaps most important from a policy point of view: Cannot pinpoint which policies are responsible for what, and which of them are WTO-compliant.
 - Needs to be complemented by data-driven analysis

Conclusions

- Detection of Industrial Policy is detective work
- Ideally, combine data, processing of government documents and historical analysis with flexible economic model
- Need for:
 - An open mind re:
 - Methods
 - Countries' IPs (willingness to update priors)
 - A case-by-case approach for each industry